

Konrad Adenauer Stiftung

Berlin, 26 Nov 2009

Zwei Wochen vor Kopenhagen: Was können wir erwarten und was bleibt zu tun?

Professor H. J. Schellnhuber CBE

Potsdam-Institut für Klimafolgenforschung



G8 and Emerging Economies Agree on 2°C Long-term Target

DECLARATION OF THE LEADERS THE MAJOR ECONOMIES FORUM ON ENERGY AND CLIMATE

We, the leaders of Australia, Brazil, Canada, China, the European Union, France, Germany, India, Indonesia, Italy, Japan, the Republic of Korea, Mexico, Russia, South Africa, the United Kingdom, and the United States met as the Major Economies Forum on Energy and Climate in L'Aquila, Italy, on July 9, 2009, and declare as follows:

I. Consistent with the Convention's objective and science:

Our countries will undertake transparent nationally appropriate mitigation actions, subject to applicable measurement, reporting, and verification, and prepare low-carbon growth plans. Developed countries among us will take the lead by promptly undertaking robust aggregate and individual reductions in the midterm consistent with our respective ambitious long-term objectives and will work together before Copenhagen to achieve a strong result in this regard. Developing countries among us will promptly undertake actions whose projected effects on emissions represent a meaningful deviation from business as usual in the midterm, in the context of sustainable development, supported by financing, technology, and capacity-building. The peaking of global and national emissions should take place as soon as possible, recognizing that the timeframe for peaking will be longer in developing countries, bearing in mind that social and economic development and poverty eradication are the first and overriding priorities in developing countries and that low-carbon development is indispensable to sustainable development. **We recognize the scientific view that the increase in global average temperature above pre-industrial levels ought not to exceed 2 degrees C.** In this regard and in the context of the ultimate objective of the Convention and the Bali Action Plan, we will work between now and Copenhagen with each other and under the Convention, to identify a global goal for substantially reducing global emissions by 2050. Progress toward the global goal would be regularly reviewed, noting the importance of frequent, comprehensive, and accurate inventories.

We will take steps nationally and internationally, including under the Convention, to reduce emissions from deforestation and forest degradation and to enhance removals of greenhouse gas emissions by forests, including providing enhanced support to developing countries for such purposes.

2. Adaptation to the adverse effects of climate change is essential. Such effects are already taking place. Further, while increased mitigation efforts will reduce climate impacts, even the most aggressive mitigation



RESPONSIBLE LEADERSHIP FOR A SUSTAINABLE FUTURE

Climate change and environment

Fighting climate change

63. This is a crucial year for taking rapid and effective global action to combat climate change. We welcome the decision taken within the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) in Poznan to enter full negotiating mode, in order to shape a global and comprehensive post-2012 agreement by the end of 2009 in Copenhagen, as mandated by the Bali Conference in 2007. We must seize this decisive opportunity to achieve a truly ambitious global consensus.



64. We reaffirm our strong commitment to the UNFCCC negotiations and to the successful conclusion of a global, wide-ranging and ambitious post-2012 agreement in Copenhagen, involving all countries, consistent with the principle of common but differentiated responsibilities and respective capabilities. In this context we also welcome the constructive contribution of the Major Economies Forum on Energy and Climate to support a successful outcome in Copenhagen. We call upon all Parties to the UNFCCC and to its Kyoto Protocol to ensure that the negotiations under both the Convention and the Protocol result in a coherent and environmentally effective global agreement.

65. We reaffirm the importance of the work of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) and notably of its Fourth Assessment Report, which constitutes the most comprehensive assessment of the science. **We recognise the broad scientific view that the increase in global average temperature above pre-industrial levels ought not to exceed 2°C.** Because this global challenge can only be met by a global response, we reiterate our willingness to share with all countries the goal of achieving at least a 50% reduction of global emissions by 2050, recognising that this implies that global emissions need to peak as soon as possible and decline thereafter. As part of this, we also support a goal of developed countries reducing emissions of greenhouse gases in aggregate by 80% or more by 2050 compared to 1990 or more recent years. Consistent with this ambitious long-term objective, we will undertake robust aggregate and individual mid-term reductions, taking into account that baselines may vary and that efforts need to be comparable. Similarly, major emerging economies need to undertake quantifiable actions to collectively reduce emissions significantly below business-as-usual by a specified year.

66. We recognize that the accelerated phase-out of HFCs mandated under the Montreal Protocol is leading to a rapid increase in the use of HFCs, many of which are very potent GHGs. Therefore we will work with our partners to ensure that HFC emissions reductions are achieved under the appropriate framework. We are also committed to taking rapid action to address other significant climate forcing agents, such as black carbon. These efforts, however, must not draw away attention from

constitutes the most comprehensive assessment of the science. **We recognise the [broad] scientific view that the increase in global average temperature above pre-industrial levels ought not to exceed 2°C.** Because this global challenge can only be

1995: The WBGU Tolerable Windows Approach




First justification / operationalization of the 2 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ guardrail

Updated Reasons for Concern

TAR (2001) Reasons For Concern

Updated Reasons For Concern




Tipping elements in the Earth's climate system


Timothy M. Lenton^{*†}, Hermann Held[‡], Elmar Kriegler^{‡§}, Jim W. Hall[¶], Wolfgang Lucht[‡], Stefan Rahmstorf[‡], and Hans Joachim Schellnhuber^{†¶||**}

^{*}School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, and Tyndall Centre for Climate Change Research, Norwich NR4 7TJ, United Kingdom; [†]Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research, P.O. Box 60 12 03, 14412 Potsdam, Germany; [‡]Department of Engineering and Public Policy, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA 15213-3890; [§]School of Civil Engineering and Geosciences, Newcastle University, and Tyndall Centre for Climate Change Research, Newcastle NE1 7RU, United Kingdom; and [¶]Environmental Change Institute, Oxford University, and Tyndall Centre for Climate Change Research, Oxford OX1 3QY, United Kingdom

**This contribution is part of the special series of Inaugural Articles by members of the National Academy of Sciences elected on May 3, 2005.


Edited by William C. Clark, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, and approved November 21, 2007 (received for review June 8, 2007)






„Mass loss on Himalayan glacier endangers water resources“ (Kehrwald et al. 2008 Geophys Res Lett)

Hysteresis of the Greenland Ice Sheet (GIS)



Loss of GIS at
~1.5 - 2.5°C
of global warming

Regrowth at
cooler temperatures



nature

THE COMING CLIMATE CRUNCH


- The trillionth tonne of carbon
- How disastrous can it get?
- Engineering alternatives

NATUREJOBS
Immunology



Meinshausen et al. 2009a
Allen et al. 2009

The world's CO₂ budget



Exemplary emission pathways in order to remain within a budget of 750 Gt between 2010 and 2050. At this level, there is a 67% probability of staying below a warming of 2 °C.

WBGU

German Advisory Council on Global Change
(WBGU)




Solving the climate dilemma: The budget approach

Special Report



“World Formula“ for Climate Policy


Illustration



Global carbon budget


Share in world population

National carbon budget




Scenario 2: Future responsibility approach

$$T_1 = 2010, T_2 = 2050, T_M = 2010, p = 2/3$$




CO₂ emissions in 2008 (light green) and permissible average annual budgets (dark green) according to the WBGU approach for selected countries.





Examples of theoretical emission trajectories



Examples of equal per-capita emissions of selected countries for 2010 - 2050, **without emissions trading**. Trajectories start from current emission levels.


CO₂ emissions by country



-  Countries with per-capita CO₂ emissions above 5,4 t
-  Countries with per-capita CO₂ emissions of 2,7–5,4 t
-  Countries with per-capita CO₂ emissions below 2,7 t
-  Annex I countries

Per-capita CO₂ emissions in 2005, differentiated by emission levels and country.

Examples of Per-Capita Emissions Paths of CO₂ for Three Groups of Countries ~~without Emissions Trading~~



Source: WBGU Special Report 2009



Potsdam Symposium Series

ST. JAMES'S PALACE
NOBEL LAUREATE
SYMPOSIUM

"Global Sustainability – A Nobel Cause"





ST. JAMES'S PALACE NOBEL LAUREATE SYMPOSIUM

The St James Palace Memorandum

“Action for a Low Carbon and Equitable Future”

London, UK, 26 – 28 May 2009



MILESTONES of the Great Transformation

An effective and just global agreement on climate change

A low carbon infrastructure

Forest protection, conservation and restoration



„[...] we should confine the temperature rise to 2°C to avoid unmanageable climate risks. This can only be achieved

- with a **peak of global emissions** of all greenhouse gases **by 2015**
- at least a 50% emission reduction by 2050 on a 1990 baseline. [...] developed countries have to aim for a 25-40% reduction by 2020.


[...] a **total carbon budget** [...] should be accepted as the base for measuring the effectiveness of short-term (2020) and long-term (2050) targets“

ST. JAMES'S PALACE
NOBEL LAUREATE
SYMPOSIUM

Memorandum Signatories


#	Name	Prize	Country
1	Professor Peter Agre	Chemistry 2003	United States
2	Professor Kenneth Arrow	Economics 1972	United States
3	Professor Françoise Barré-Sinoussi	Medicine 2008	France
4	Dr Paul Berg	Chemistry 1980	United States
5	Dr Mario Capecchi	Medicine 2007	United States
6	Professor John Coetzee	Literature 2003	South Africa
7	Professor Paul Crutzen	Chemistry 1995	Germany
8	Professor Johann Deisenhofer	Chemistry 1988	Germany
9	Dr Mohamed ElBaradei	Peace 2005	Austria
10	Professor Claude Cohen-Tannoudji	Physics 1997	France
11	Professor Peter Doherty	Medicine 1996	Australia
12	Professor Richard Ernst	Chemistry 1991	Switzerland
13	Professor Dr Gerhard Ertl	Chemistry 2007	Germany
14	Mr Mikhail Gorbachev	Peace 1990	Russia (Former USSR)
15	Ms Nadine Gordimer	Literature 1991	South Africa
16	Dr Paul Greengard	Medicine 2000	United States
17	Professor David Gross	Physics 2004	United States
18	Professor Robert Grubbs	Chemistry 2005	United States
19	Dr Roger Guillemin	Medicine 1977	United States
20	Dr Lee Hartwell	Medicine 2001	United States
21	Professor Alan Heeger	Chemistry 2000	United States
22	Professor Dudley Herschbach	Chemistry 1986	United States
23	Professor Antony Hewish	Physics 1974	United Kingdom
24	Professor Roald Hoffmann	Chemistry 1981	United States
25	Professor Gerardus 't Hooft	Physics 1999	Netherlands
26	Professor Aaron Klug	Chemistry 1982	United Kingdom
27	Professor Walter Kohn	Chemistry 1998	United States
28	Professor Masatashi Koshihira	Physics 2002	Japan
29	Professor Sir Harold Kroto	Chemistry 1996	United Kingdom
30	His Holiness the Dalai Lama	Peace 1989	Tibet
31	Professor Yuan Tseh Lee	Chemistry 1986	United States
32	Ms Doris Lessing	Literature 2007	United Kingdom
33	Professor Wangari Maathai	Peace 2004	Kenya
34	Dr Toshihide Maskawa	Physics 2008	Japan
35	Professor Eric Maskin	Economic Sciences 2007	United States
36	Professor Dr Hartmut Michel	Chemistry 1988	Germany
37	Professor James Mirrlees	Economic Sciences 1996	United Kingdom
38	Professor Mario Molina	Chemistry 1995	United States
39	Professor Roger Myerson	Economics 2007	United States
40	Professor Doctor Erwin Neher	Medicine 1991	Germany
41	Dr Ryoji Noyori	Chemistry 2001	Japan
42	Sir Paul Nurse	Medicine 2001	United Kingdom
43	Professor Douglas Osheroff	Physics 1996	United States
44	Dr. Rajendra Pachauri on behalf of IPCC	Peace 2007	India
45	Professor Edmund Phelps	Economic Sciences 1996	United States
46	Professor John Polanyi	Chemistry 1986	Canada
47	Professor David Politzer	Physics 2004	United States
48	Professor Burton Richter	Chemistry 1976	United States
49	Professor F. Sherwood Rowland	Chemistry 1995	United States
50	Professor Carlo Rubbia	Physics 1984	Italy
51	Dr Hideki Shirakawa	Chemistry 2007	Japan
52	Dr Jens Christian Skou	Chemistry 1997	Denmark
53	Professor Wole Soyinka	Literature 1986	Nigeria
54	Professor Jack Steinberger	Physics 1988	United States
55	Sir John Sulston	Medicine 2002	United Kingdom
56	Professor Susumu Tonegawa	Medicine 1987	Japan
57	Professor Klaus von Klitzing	Physics 1985	Germany
58	Professor Sir John Walker	Chemistry 1997	United Kingdom
59	Dr Torsten Wiesel	Medicine 1981	United States

Dangerous Warming Commitment



(Ramanathan & Feng 2008 PNAS)


Pattern of warming by 2090s, A1FI Mean of “high-end” MOHC simulations (14 simulations, mean global warming 5.4°C)



Temperature change (°C) relative to 1961-1990

Source: Met Office
Hadley Centre

Precipitation changes by 2090s, A1FI Mean of “high-end” MOHC simulations (14 simulations, mean global warming 5.4°C)



Source: Met Office
Hadley Centre

Extensive dynamic thinning on the margins of the Greenland and Antarctic ice sheets

Hamish D. Pritchard¹, Robert J. Arthern¹, David G. Vaughan¹ & Laura A. Edwards²





Figure 2 | Rate of change of surface elevation for Antarctica and Greenland. Change measurements are median filtered (10-km radius), spatially averaged (5-km radius) and gridded to 3 km, from intervals (Δt) of at least 365 d, over the period 2003–2007 (mean Δt is 728 d for Antarctica

and 746 d for Greenland). East Antarctic data cropped to 2,500-m altitude. White dashed line (at 81.5° S) shows southern limit of radar altimetry measurements. Labels are for sites and drainage sectors (see text).

Ocean Acidification Triggers Marine Oxygen Holes




Key Message 2 Synthesis Report (Hofmann&Schellnhuber 2009 PNAS)



Carbon Stored in Permafrost Soils

Estimates Corrected Upwards




The new estimate of frozen carbon stored in permafrost soils of the circumpolar region is over 1.5 trillion tons, about twice as much carbon as contained in the atmosphere.

(Tarnocai et al. 2009 Global Biogeochemical Cycles)

“Runaway Greenhouse Effect”

Conceptual approach

Energy gain per additional degree of warming [$\text{W}/\text{m}^2/\text{K}$]
vs.
Energy export through thermal radiation





(Levermann & Schneider v. Deimling, pers. comm., 2009)

“Runaway Greenhouse Effect”

Where do we stand at present ?

Present estimate of
physical feedbacks
1.5 – 2.5 W/m²/K



Distance to Runaway Limit
0.7 – 1.7 W/m²/K

(Soden & Held, *J. Clim.*, 2006)