

Germany's UNSC Defeat: A Structural Realist Reading from the Global South

Zimkhitha Manyana, PhD
University of the Witwatersrand
Zimkhitha.manyana@wits.ac.za

Germany's failure to secure a non-permanent seat on the United Nations Security Council (UNSC) for the 2027–2028 term has been widely framed as a diplomatic setback. However, from a structural realist perspective, this outcome is better understood as a reflection of systemic constraints within the UN rather than a decline in Germany's power or legitimacy. In an anarchic international system, outcomes in multilateral institutions are shaped less by reputation than by distributional politics, regional bargaining structures, and coalition formation.

The election took place within the Western European and Others Group (WEOG), where Germany competed against Austria and Portugal for only two available seats. This created a structurally zero-sum environment in which exclusion was inevitable. Germany ultimately received 104 votes, which still reflects significant diplomatic support, but not sufficient coalition consolidation to secure the election (GermanPolicy.com, 2026; Nia, 2026). By contrast, Zimbabwe's election with 182 votes under the African Group's coordinated rotation illustrates the structural advantage of regional discipline in UN electoral politics, where unified candidacies reduce vote fragmentation.

From a structural realist lens, this outcome reflects relative gains logic: states do not simply reward capability or status but evaluate how representation redistributes influence within the institution. Germany's position as a leading EU and G7 economy, and as the fourth-largest financial contributor to the UN, reinforces perceptions that it already exercises significant structural influence within global governance (Federal Government of Germany, 2021). As a result, some states may prioritise "correcting" representation by favouring smaller or middle powers such as Austria and Portugal, reflecting a balancing logic within institutional selection rather than a judgement on Germany's diplomacy.

Germany's campaign was further constrained by timing and sequencing. Although it formally entered the race in 2020, Austria and Portugal had longer-established diplomatic networks and vote-trading commitments, which are critical in UNSC elections where outcomes depend on sustained reciprocal bargaining (GermanPolicy.com, 2026; Nia, 2026). Wolfgang Ischinger's assessment, as reflected in diplomatic commentary, underscores that Germany's loss was

symbolic rather than material, reinforcing the idea that electoral outcomes are shaped by long-term positioning rather than short-term campaign intensity (Nia, 2026).

A further structural factor lies in the transactional nature of UNSC elections. Kuziemko and Werker (2006) demonstrate that membership on the Council generates measurable material incentives, including a 59% increase in US foreign aid and an 8% increase in UN assistance during tenure. This confirms that voting behaviour is often shaped by strategic exchange rather than normative alignment. Germany, as a major donor state, is less reliant on such inducements, placing it at a relative disadvantage in a system where vote-trading and reciprocity remain central mechanisms of selection.

From a broader systemic perspective, Germany's defeat also reflects shifting expectations about representation within multilateral institutions. Germany already occupies a dominant position within the European Union and NATO, and its multilateral approach, described by the Federal Government as "multilateralism for the people", emphasises rule-based governance and institutional leadership rather than positional scarcity (Federal Government of Germany, 2021). This reinforces perceptions of overrepresentation among major powers, contributing to what can be described as "big-power fatigue" in UN electoral politics.

At the same time, African diplomatic practice highlights an alternative model of coordinated representation. The African Group's rotational system, supported by the reform processes outlined in the Amani Africa (2026) Intergovernmental Negotiations report, demonstrates a more coherent bloc strategy than WEOG fragmentation. This strengthens longstanding African demands, articulated through the Ezulwini Consensus, for permanent African representation as part of a more equitable Security Council structure. In this sense, Germany's defeat is less significant than the broader structural contest over representation and legitimacy in global governance reform.

Finally, Germany's loss does not meaningfully alter its systemic position. As Bunde and Eisentraut (2020) argue, Germany functions as an "enabling power" within Europe, shaping norms, institutions, and collective policy frameworks rather than relying on episodic institutional seats. From a structural realist perspective, this reinforces the distinction between institutional representation and systemic power, with the latter remaining largely unchanged regardless of electoral outcomes.

Ultimately, Germany's failure to secure a UNSC seat is best understood not as diplomatic decline but as a structural outcome of UN electoral politics. It reflects systemic constraints, regional asymmetries in bargaining, and the enduring tension between material power and representational legitimacy in global governance.

References

Amani Africa (2026) *Recent developments and current state of the Inter-Governmental Negotiation (IGN) of the General Assembly*. Ideas Indaba, 5 March.

Bunde, T. and Eisentraut, S. (2020) *The Enabling Power: Germany's European Imperative*. Munich Security Brief. Munich: Munich Security Conference.

Federal Government of Germany (2021) *A Multilateralism for the People: Federal Government White Paper*. Berlin: Federal Foreign Office.

GermanPolicy.com (2026) 'Germany's Security Council bid falls short', *GermanPolicy.com*, 3 June.

Kuziemko, I. and Werker, E. (2006) 'How Much Is a Seat on the Security Council Worth? Foreign Aid and Bribery at the United Nations', *Journal of Political Economy*, 114(5), pp. 905–930.

Nia, O.T. (2026) 'Germany concedes "bitter defeat" after failed bid for non-permanent seat on UN Security Council', *Anadolu Agency*, 3 June.